(1) (3)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 738 /2003

PETITIONERS

1) **Gourishankar Pandey aged about 48 years's/o Shri Ramdulare Pandey, Pump Operator, Simga Udwahan Sichai Yojna, Dustt. Raipur (CG)**

2) **Dashrath Lal Sahu, son of Bhukhar Ram Sahr, aged about 50 Years, Pump Operator Udwahan Sinchai Yojna Simga, Distt.Raipur (CG)**

3) **Ponnarappsn P.P., Son of Padmanabhan, aged avout 48 years, Pump Operator, Simga Udwahan Sinchai Yojna, Raipur, (CG)**

4) **Ramvishal Verma, son of Abheram Verma, aged about 53 years, Pump Operator, Udawahan Sinachai Yojna Singa, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.**

P. R. No. 556/03
Presented by Shri P.P. Sahu
dated 19.02.03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1) **State of Chhattisgarh : though the Chief Secretary, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur, Chhjattisgarh.**

2) **Chief Engineer, Mahanade Godavari, Kachharm Irrigation Department Raipur.**

3) **Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department, Raipur Division, Raipur.**

पथ आयुक्त एस. के. शुक्ला बिलासपुर (छ.ग.) बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट 19/02/03

COPY.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(22)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 738/03

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	26/4/2003 Shri P.P. Sahu, counsel for the petitioners. State by Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, Panel Lawyer. Heard. The petitioners have preferred this petition against the inaction of the respondents by which the representation submitted by them regarding equal pay for equal work has not been decided. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will serve if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation along-with a copy of this order to the competent authority within fifteen days from today and on such representation being filed the competent authority shall decide the same by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law within two months from the date of production of representation. If any adverse order is passed and the petitioner thereby feels aggrieved, he may file the petition afresh challenging the said order. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. and I.A., if any, stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

[पाछ देखिये

+ W.H/P 4/5